

SPSO decision report

Case: 201705215, Tayside NHS Board
Sector: health
Subject: communication / staff attitude / dignity / confidentiality
Decision: not upheld, no recommendations

Summary

Mr C complained about the actions of a court appointed psychologist who interviewed him after he had been convicted of an offence.

In their response to the complaint the board set out the reasons why the court decided to appoint the psychologist. They also explained that specific information was required from Mr C so that the psychologist could prepare a report for the court, prior to Mr C being sentenced.

We found that the board investigated the complaint and clearly explained why the psychologist had to ask for the information. Therefore, we did not uphold this complaint.